Meeting: PESC Technical Advisory Board Meeting

Time: Thursday, January 27, 3 PM EST

Call-in Number: 1-866-352-3799 code: \*7696363\*

# Agenda

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Topic** | **Lead** | **Approx. Time** |
| Minutes Review | Michael | 5 min |
| HR-XML use of language attribute next steps | Steve | 5 min |
| Data Transport Standard vs. SIF vs. BCC\* | Michael | 30 min |
| Edu1world experience | All | 10 min |
| Action Item summary | Michael | 5 min |

Minutes: Morris

\*There are two issues we need to discuss. The first is the approach PESC takes with messaging. PESC standards do not have a consistent message approach. Most standards use the TransmissionData with Source and Destination of the document to specify message routing, but the TransmissionData structure differs for different standards. The method for requesting different operations (Request, Response, Acknowledgement, etc.) appears to be specific to each standard. Some contain all operations in a single schema while others have separate schemas for each operation. SIF on the other hand, has a consistent message format that includes both routing and operations. It also encapsulates the document or subset of a document in the message body. BCC also uses an encapsulation approach for the college transcript.

The second issue is the technology used to transport the message from one server to another. Our current DTS uses an outdated web service specification. How do we cope with constantly changing web service technologies? Should we accept SIF definition and let them do all the work? It should be a good discussion.